arXiv:1703.07885v1 [hep-th] 22 Mar 2017

Can scalars have asymptotic symmetries?

Miguel Campiglia,[1,*] Leonardo Coito,[1,†] and Sebastian Mizera[2,3,‡]

[1] *Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Montevideo 11400, Uruguay*
[2] *Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada*
[3] *Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada*

Recently it has been understood that certain soft factorization theorems for scattering amplitudes can be written as Ward identities of new asymptotic symmetries. This relationship has been established for soft particles with spins $s > 0$, most notably for soft gravitons and photons. Here we study the remaining case of soft scalars. We show that a class of Yukawa-type theories, where a massless scalar couples to massive particles, have an infinite number of conserved charges. We study the charges in terms of asymptotic fields and explore their associated symmetries, pointing out similarities and differences with the $s > 0$ case. Finally, we discuss an identification of the soft scalars studied in this work as Nambu–Goldstone dilatons associated to spontaneous breaking of scale invariance.

Contents

I. Introduction 2

II. Spin zero soft theorem as a Ward identity 4

III. Charges in terms of asymptotic fields 5

IV. Charge conservation 8
A. Field equations at time-infinity 8
B. Field equations at spatial infinity 9

V. Smeared charges 10

VI. Spacetime interpretation of charges 11
A. Action on asymptotic fields 12
B. Spacetime current 13
C. Relation with shift symmetry 14

VII. Conclusions and open questions 16
A. Relation with scale symmetry 16
B. Massiveness of ψ 17
C. Towards double-copy at null infinity 18

*Electronic address: campi@fisica.edu.uy
†Electronic address: lcoito@fisica.edu.uy
‡Electronic address: smizera@pitp.ca

Acknowledgments 19

A. Soft scalar theorems 20

B. Green's functions on $\mathcal{H}^+$, $\mathcal{H}^o$ 22
1. (Future) Time-like infinity $\mathcal{H}^+$ 22
   a. Green's function for Eq. (B8) 23
   b. Green's function for Eq. (B9) 24
   c. Relation between Green's functions and Eq. (4.4) 24
2. Spatial infinity $\mathcal{H}^o$ 25
   a. Solution to Eq. (B32) 26
   b. Solution to Eq. (B33) 26
   c. Relation between fields (B32) and (B33) 27

C. Asymptotics of $\Lambda(x)$ at null infinity 28
1. Eq. (6.18) 29

References 29

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been a renewed interest in soft theorems [1–32] for scattering amplitudes and their relation to asymptotic symmetries [33–39], see [40] for a review. First studies that sparked this activity where in the context of gauge and gravity theories [41–44]. It was argued that factorization of an amplitude when one of the momenta becomes soft can be thought of as a consequence of Ward identities for a new set of symmetries acting on asymptotic fields. In the case of gauge theory, this symmetry is generated by large gauge transformations that do not decay at null infinity [41, 43]. In the case of gravity, they are the Bondi–van der Burg–Metzner–Sachs supertranslations [33, 34].

Soon after, the ideas were extended in multiple directions, including higher dimensions [45, 46], subleading soft theorems [47–53], massive particles [54–56], and other theories [57–60]. For gauge and gravity theories, the soft particle in the soft theorems are of spin-1 (photon) and spin-2 (graviton). In [57] and [58, 59] the analysis was generalized to the case where the soft particles have spin-1/2 (photino) and spin-3/2 (gravitino) respectively. Just recently, an analysis for higher spins was given in [60]. Here, we would like to complete this list by studying the case of a spin-0 (scalar) soft particle.

There are several points motivating this work. Firstly, there is a large number of theories for which soft theorems have been studied [1–32]. It is however not clear to what extent a relation to new asymptotic conserved charges can be identified in all these cases. It is then natural to try to map the space of theories for which this identification can be made. We believe this will bring us closer to understanding the nature of asymptotic symmetries in general.

Our second motivation comes from a Minkowski holography approach [61, 62] that has received fresh attention [63, 64] after the soft theorem/asymptotic symmetry developments. The potential use of this approach for scattering amplitudes is being explored in the simpler setting of scalar fields [64, 65]. We hope that an asymptotic symmetry description of scalar soft theorems could be of help in this program.

Last but not least, a particular spin-0 theory, the *bi-adjoint scalar* [66, 67], plays a central role in the so-called *double-copy* relations [68, 69]. They relate scattering amplitudes of gravity, Yang–Mills theory and the bi-adjoint scalar.[1] Recently, this construction has also been applied on the level of solutions of classical equations of motion [70–75]. It is tempting to suggest that a similar relationship (already manifest for soft theorems) can be made for the asymptotic symmetries of Yang–Mills and gravity. We hope that studying soft scalars from the perspective of asymptotic symmetries will be useful in revealing a double-copy structure at null infinity.

In this paper we study a number of field theories with massless scalar field φ coupled to a massive field ψ. We will consider Yukawa-type interactions,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \sim \varphi\psi^2. \tag{1.1}$$

We also assume that the field φ does not have self-interactions at cubic order. For concreteness the field ψ will be taken to be either a scalar or a Dirac field, but other fields can be treated similarly. In such theory, the tree-level amplitude[2] of n hard particles of either type, and one soft φ scalar factorizes as

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;q) \;\propto\; \sum_{i\in\psi} \frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\,\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n) + \ldots, \tag{1.2}$$

with a proportionality factor that depends on the spin of ψ. Hard particles have momenta p_i, and the soft one has momentum q. The dots denote subleading terms in q.

In section II, following the usual procedure [41], we recast (1.2) into a Ward identity,

$$Q^-_{\hat{q}} S = S Q^+_{\hat{q}}, \tag{1.3}$$

for appropriately defined charges $Q^\pm_{\hat{q}}$ parametrized by the soft momentum direction $\hat{q}$. The situation is completely analogous to Ward identities associated to similar soft theorems arising in other theories. There is however a qualitative difference in theories where the spin of the soft particle is greater or equal than one: In those cases the (smeared version of the) charges are associated to large local symmetries. Specifically, the spin-1 soft theorem is associated to gauge symmetries, the spin-2 theorem to diffeomorphisms, and the spin-3/2 theorem to local supersymmetry. The spin zero and one-half cases fall in a different category and do not appear to be associated to any underlying local symmetry.

Even in the absence of local symmetry, the soft theorem (1.2) still predicts the existence of a conserved charge. What is the spacetime interpretation of this charge? Why is it conserved? What is the underlying symmetry? These are the main questions we would like to address. To tackle them, we study the charges from the perspective of the classical field theory as follows.

In section III we express the charges in terms of the asymptotic fields and use it in section

[1] We give more details about this construction in the context of soft theorems in section VII C.

[2] In this work we consider only tree-level amplitudes. Loop corrections will generate a mass for the scalar field φ, thus breaking the soft theorem discussed here. It might be possible to introduce extra fields in the theories under considerations, such that an enhanced symmetry would preserve the masslessness of the scalar field.

IV to establish charge conservation. Smeared version of the charges are considered in section V. In section VI we work towards a spacetime interpretation of the smeared charges: We compute their action on asymptotic fields, construct a spacetime current, and discuss similarities and differences with soft photons and gravitons. A complete understanding of how these pieces fit together is still missing. Further open questions and future directions are discussed in section VII: The relation to spontaneously broken scale symmetry, the requirement for massiveness of the field ψ and the soft theorem for bi-adjoint scalar in relation to the double-copy structure.

Conventions: We use mostly plus signature spacetime metric. The normalization of Fock operators is such that $[a(\vec{p}), a^\dagger(\vec{p}')] = (2\pi)^3(2E_p)\delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')$.

II. SPIN ZERO SOFT THEOREM AS A WARD IDENTITY

Consider first the case where the massive field ψ is a scalar. The coupling with the massless φ is given by the interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{g}{2}\varphi\psi^2. \tag{2.1}$$

A 'soft theorem' for this theory may be established along the same lines as the photon or graviton case. One concludes that the tree-level amplitude of n particles of either type and one soft φ-particle factorizes as (see appendix A for the derivation):

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\dots,p_n;\omega q) \overset{\omega\to 0}{=} \frac{g}{2\omega}\sum_{i\in\psi}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\dots,p_n) + O(\omega^0). \tag{2.2}$$

Following Strominger et.al., it is possible to re-express (2.2) in a Ward identity form (1.3). If $a(\vec{p})$ and $b(\vec{p})$ are the Fock operators associated to φ and ψ respectively, the appropriate charge is:

$$Q_{\hat{q}} := \lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{\omega}{2}(a(\omega\hat{q}) + a^\dagger(\omega\hat{q})) - \frac{g}{2}\int \widetilde{dp}\,\frac{b^\dagger(\vec{p})b(\vec{p})}{p\cdot q} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\widetilde{dp} \equiv \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}$ and

$$q^\mu = (1,\hat{q}) \tag{2.4}$$

is the future-pointing null vector associated to the direction $\hat{q}$. To simplify notation we are omitting $\pm$ superscripts. The charges $Q^\pm_{\hat{q}}$, acting on the 'out' $(+)$ and 'in' $(-)$ Fock spaces, have both the form (2.3) with the corresponding in/out Fock operators.

In order to establish the equivalence between the $Q_{\hat{q}}$ Ward identity and the soft theorem one also needs, as in the gauge and gravity cases, a relation between incoming and outgoing soft particles. In the present case the relevant relation is

$$\lim_{\omega\to 0}\omega\langle \text{out}|Sa^\dagger(\omega\hat{q})|\text{in}\rangle = -\lim_{\omega\to 0}\omega\langle\text{out}|a(\omega\hat{q})S|\text{in}\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

With these ingredients it is straightforward to show the desired equivalence,

$$\langle \text{out}|[Q_{\hat{q}}, S]|\text{in}\rangle = 0 \iff \lim_{\omega\to 0} \omega \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;\omega q) = \frac{g}{2}\sum_{i\in\psi}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n). \quad (2.6)$$

Following standard nomenclature, we write the charge (2.3) as

$$Q_{\hat{q}} = Q^{\text{soft}}_{\hat{q}} + Q^{\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}} \quad (2.7)$$

where $Q^{\text{soft}}_{\hat{q}}$ and $Q^{\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}}$ are respectively the terms linear and quadratic in the Fock operators.

Similar analysis can be repeated in Yukawa theory in four dimensions, where the massive field is of Dirac type. If we take the interaction Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g\varphi\bar{\Psi}\Psi, \quad (2.8)$$

the analogous soft theorem takes the form (see appendix A)

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;\omega q) \overset{\omega\to 0}{=} \frac{gm}{\omega}\sum_{i\in\{\Psi,\bar{\Psi}\}}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n) + O(\omega^0) \quad (2.9)$$

where the sum now is over particles and anti-particles of the Ψ field. The charge whose Ward identity reproduces the soft theorem is of the form (2.7) with $Q^{\text{soft}}_{\hat{q}}$ as before and

$$Q^{\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}} = -gm\sum_s \int \widetilde{dp}\,\frac{1}{p\cdot q}\left(b^\dagger_s(\vec{p})b_s(\vec{p}) + d^\dagger_s(\vec{p})d_s(\vec{p})\right) \quad (2.10)$$

where b_s (d_s) are the Fock operators for particles (anti-particles) with spin $s = \pm 1/2$.

III. CHARGES IN TERMS OF ASYMPTOTIC FIELDS

In this section we write the charges $Q^{\pm}_{\hat{q}}$ in terms of asymptotic future/past fields. We start with the asymptotic future case. The first step is to express the Fock operators in terms of asymptotic fields. For the massless field φ, this is captured in the null-infinity limit:

$$r\to\infty \quad \text{with} \quad u = t - r = \text{constant},\ \hat{x} = \text{constant}. \quad (3.1)$$

Assuming that in this limit $\varphi(x)$ is given by the free-field expression

$$\varphi(x) \approx \int \widetilde{dp}\, a(\vec{p})e^{ip\cdot x} + c.c. \quad (3.2)$$

a standard saddle point argument (see e.g. [78]) tells

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{x})/r + \ldots \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{2\pi}a(\vec{p} = E\hat{x})e^{-iEu} + c.c. \quad (3.4)$$

The field $\varphi_{\mathcal{I}}(u,\hat{x})$ is to be regarded as a field living on future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$. From (3.4) it follows that the 'soft' part of the charge $Q^+_{\hat{q}}$ can be written as

$$Q^{+\text{soft}}_{\hat{q}} = -4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \partial_u \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{q}) \tag{3.5}$$

$$= 4\pi(\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u=-\infty,\hat{q}) - \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u=+\infty,\hat{q})). \tag{3.6}$$

For massive fields one needs to consider the time-infinity limit

$$t \to \infty \quad \text{with} \quad r/t = \text{constant},\ \hat{x} = \text{constant}. \tag{3.7}$$

It is convenient to write this limit in terms of hyperbolic coordinates

$$\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - r^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt{t^2 - r^2}} \tag{3.8}$$

as

$$\tau \to \infty \quad \text{with} \quad y^\alpha := (\rho, \hat{x}) = \text{constant}. \tag{3.9}$$

Assuming again an asymptotic free field expression

$$\psi(x) \approx \int \widetilde{dp}\, b(\vec{p}) e^{ip\cdot x} + c.c. \tag{3.10}$$

$$\Psi(x) \approx \sum_s \int \widetilde{dp}\, (b_s(\vec{p}) u_s(\vec{p}) e^{ip\cdot x} + d^\dagger_s(\vec{p}) v_s(\vec{p}) e^{-ip\cdot x}) \tag{3.11}$$

a standard saddle point argument tells (see e.g. [55]):

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2(2\pi\tau)^{3/2}} b(\vec{p} = m\rho\hat{x}) e^{-i\tau m} + c.c. + \dots \tag{3.12}$$

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2(2\pi\tau)^{3/2}} \sum_s (b_s(\vec{p}) u_s(\vec{p}) e^{-i\tau m} + d^\dagger_s(\vec{p}) v_s(\vec{p}) e^{i\tau m})|_{\vec{p}=m\rho\hat{x}} + \dots . \tag{3.13}$$

where we omitted an unimportant overall phase. Similar to the gauge and gravity cases, the 'hard' part of the charge will be given by the 'source' for the field φ. The field equations for φ are

$$\Box\varphi = -\frac{g}{2}\psi^2 \tag{3.14}$$

in the scalar ψ theory and

$$\Box\varphi = -g\bar{\Psi}\Psi \tag{3.15}$$

in the Yukawa theory. The $t \to \infty$ behavior of the massive fields implies that in both cases the leading term of the source falls as $1/\tau^3$,[3]

$$\Box\varphi = \frac{j(y)}{\tau^3} + \dots . \tag{3.16}$$

[3] In the scalar ψ case, the dots in (3.16) include terms of the form $e^{2im\tau}/\tau^3$, $e^{-2im\tau}/\tau^3$. In the Yukawa case such terms are absents and the dots start at $O(\tau^{-4})$.

In the scalar ψ theory the leading source is given by

$$j(y) = -g\frac{m}{4(2\pi)^3} b^\dagger(\vec{p}) b(\vec{p})|_{\vec{p}=m\rho\hat{x}}, \tag{3.17}$$

whereas in the Yukawa theory

$$j(y) = -2mg\frac{m}{4(2\pi)^3}\sum_s \left(b_s^\dagger(\vec{p}) b_s(\vec{p}) + d_s^\dagger(\vec{p}) d_s(\vec{p})\right)|_{\vec{p}=m\rho\hat{x}}. \tag{3.18}$$

$j(y)$ is to be regarded as a field living on the future time infinity hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}^+$ (see appendix B 1). We now show that the charge $Q_{\hat{q}}^{\rm hard}$ takes a universal form when written in terms of $j(y)$.

Let

$$Y^\mu := (\sqrt{1+\rho^2}, \rho\hat{x}) \tag{3.19}$$

be the unit time-like vector defined by y^α. Under the change of variables $\vec{p} \to y^\alpha : \vec{p} = m\rho\hat{x}$ we have

$$p^\mu = mY^\mu, \quad \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{E_p} = m^2 d^3V \tag{3.20}$$

with $d^3V = \sqrt{h} d\rho d^2\hat{x}$ the volume element on $\mathcal{H}^+$. Using this and (3.17), (3.18) one finds that the hard charge in either theory is given by

$$Q_{\hat{q}}^{+\rm hard} = \int d^3V \frac{j(y)}{Y\cdot q}. \tag{3.21}$$

We emphasize that (3.21) is valid for both the scalar ψ or the Yukawa theory. In fact, one of the conclusions one can draw from this paper is that (3.21) is the form of the hard charge regardless the spin of the massive particles. As another example in appendix A we discuss the soft theorem for spin 1 massive particles. Most of the remainder of the paper follows from equations (3.5), (3.16) and (3.21) and is thus insensitive to the nature of the massive particles.

Similar analysis applies to the asymptotic past. In terms of advanced time $v = t + r$, the asymptotic form of $\varphi(x)$ near past null infinity is

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v, \hat{x})/r + \dots \tag{3.22}$$

with

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v, \hat{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_0^\infty \frac{dE}{2\pi} a(\vec{p} = -E\hat{x}) e^{-iEv} + c.c. \tag{3.23}$$

The soft part of $Q_{\hat{q}}^-$ is then:

$$Q_{\hat{q}}^{-\rm soft} = 4\pi(\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v = +\infty, -\hat{q}) - \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v = -\infty, -\hat{q})). \tag{3.24}$$

One can similarly obtain $Q_{\hat{q}}^{-\rm hard}$ in terms of the asymptotic fields at past time infinity.

IV. CHARGE CONSERVATION

In the previous section we found expressions for the charges in terms of the asymptotic fields. The Ward identities discussed in section II tell us these charges are conserved. The aim of this section is to understand this conservation from the perspective of the classical field theory.

The strategy is as follows. First, by studying the late-time field equations one can show that

$$Q^+_{\hat{q}} = 4\pi\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u=-\infty,\hat{q}), \tag{4.1}$$
$$Q^-_{\hat{q}} = 4\pi\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v=+\infty,-\hat{q}). \tag{4.2}$$

Next, one studies the asymptotic field equations at spatial infinity to show that

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u=-\infty,\hat{q}) = \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v=\infty,-\hat{q}) \tag{4.3}$$

from which the classical conservation $Q^+_{\hat{q}} = Q^-_{\hat{q}}$ follows. Equation (4.3) is the spin zero version of Strominger's 'matching' condition. The outlined strategy was used in [79] to treat the analogue problem in electrodynamics. The way we link future and past null infinity through spatial infinity is inspired from [80–82].

A. Field equations at time-infinity

Let us establish (4.1). From Eqns. (2.7) and (3.6) we see that (4.1) is equivalent to the condition:

$$Q^{+\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}} = 4\pi\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u=+\infty,\hat{q}). \tag{4.4}$$

To show (4.4) we study the field equations in the asymptotic time-infinity limit. The field equation (3.16) implies that in this limit

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y)/\tau + \dots \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$(D^2+1)\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+} = j. \tag{4.6}$$

Here D^2 is the Laplacian operator on the time-infinity hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}^+$ (see appendix B 1). Thus, (4.6) is a Poisson-type equation on $\mathcal{H}^+$ that determines $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ for a given source j. The solution can be given in terms of appropriate Green's function,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y) = \int d^3V' \mathcal{G}(y;y')j(y'). \tag{4.7}$$

As shown in appendix B 1, the properties of this Green's function imply:

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \rho\,\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}(\rho,\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3V \frac{j(y)}{Y\cdot q} \tag{4.8}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} Q^{\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, consistency between the expansions at null (3.3) and time (4.5) infinities imply the following continuity condition:[4]

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \rho\, \varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}(\rho, \hat{x}) = \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u = +\infty, \hat{x}), \tag{4.10}$$

from which (4.4) (and hence (4.1)) follows.

Similar treatment applies to asymptotic past. The analogue of relation (4.4) in this case is:

$$Q^{-\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}} = 4\pi\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v = -\infty, -\hat{q}), \tag{4.11}$$

which together with (3.24) leads to Eq. (4.2).

B. Field equations at spatial infinity

In order to relate the future and past charges we now look at the field φ near spatial infinity. Hyperbolic coordinates adapted to this end are

$$\rho := \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}, \quad \tau := \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}}. \tag{4.12}$$

The behavior of regular massless scalar at $\rho \to \infty$ is [83],

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(y)/\rho + \dots \tag{4.13}$$

whereas the massive field ψ falls-off as $e^{-m\rho}$. Thus asymptotically φ satisfies the free wave equation, which in turn implies $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(y)$ satisfies

$$(D^2 - 1)\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o} = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

where D^2 is the wave operator in the space-infinity hyperbolid $\mathcal{H}^o$ (see appendix B 2). Equation (4.14) can be seen to imply either $O(1/\tau)$ or $O(\ln\tau/\tau)$ fall-off at $\tau \to \infty$. The latter however is not consistent with the assumed behavior at infinity. In fact, a continuity argument as in Eq. (4.10) tells

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(\tau, \hat{x}) \;=\; \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u = -\infty, \hat{x}), \tag{4.15}$$

$$\lim_{\tau\to-\infty} -\tau\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(\tau, \hat{x}) \;=\; \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^-}(v = +\infty, \hat{x}). \tag{4.16}$$

For future use, let $\phi_\pm(\hat{x})$ denote the above asymptotic values, that is,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(\tau, \hat{x}) \overset{\tau\to\pm\infty}{\to} \frac{1}{|\tau|}\phi_\pm(\hat{x}). \tag{4.17}$$

In appendix (B 1) it is shown these asymptotic values satisfy

$$\phi_-(\hat{x}) = \phi_+(-\hat{x}), \tag{4.18}$$

[4] See [79] for a discussion in the context of electrodynamics.

as a consequence of the wave equation (4.14). This in turn implies Eq. (4.3) and hence the charge conservation $Q^+_{\hat{q}} = Q^-_{\hat{q}}$.

V. SMEARED CHARGES

In analogy to the gauge and gravity cases we now consider smeared version of the charges,

$$Q^+[\lambda] := \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{S^2} d^2\hat{q}\lambda(\hat{q})Q^+_{\hat{q}}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\lambda(\hat{q})$ is an arbitrary function on the sphere. Using the splitting $Q^+_{\hat{q}} = Q^{+\text{soft}}_{\hat{q}} + Q^{+\text{hard}}_{\hat{q}}$ and Eqns. (3.5), (3.21) we write $Q^+[\lambda]$ as a sum of soft and hard pieces with

$$Q^{+\text{soft}}[\lambda] \;=\; -\int_{\mathcal{I}^+} du d^2\hat{x}\lambda(\hat{x})\partial_u\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{x}), \tag{5.2}$$

$$Q^{+\text{hard}}[\lambda] \;=\; -\int_{\mathcal{H}^+} d^3V\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y)j(y), \tag{5.3}$$

where we defined:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y) := -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^2\hat{q}\frac{\lambda(\hat{q})}{q\cdot Y}. \tag{5.4}$$

As described in appendix B 1, definition (5.4) implies $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ is the function on $\mathcal{H}^+$ that satisfies the Laplace-type equation

$$(D^2+1)\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+} = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

and has the $\rho\to\infty$ behavior $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(\rho,\hat{x}) \sim \frac{\ln\rho}{\rho}\lambda(\hat{x})$. Equation (5.5) suggests $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ should be interpreted as the time-infinity asymptotic value of a spacetime field

$$\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y)/\tau + \dots \tag{5.6}$$

that satisfies (asymptotically) the free wave equation $\Box\Lambda = 0$.[5] A simple expression for a spacetime field satisfying these conditions is obtained by diving Eq. (5.4) by τ:

$$\Lambda(x) := -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^2\hat{q}\frac{\lambda(\hat{q})}{q\cdot x}. \tag{5.7}$$

Indeed one can verify such expressions satisfies $\Box\Lambda = 0$ and Eq. (5.6) with no subleading terms. We leave for next section further discussion on this spacetime perspective.

We now go to the spatial infinity description of (5.1). The spacetime field $\Lambda(x)$ introduced above has a spatial infinity expansion,

$$\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}(y)/\rho + \dots \tag{5.8}$$

[5] Another possibility leading to (5.5) would be $\Box\Lambda = 0$ with $\Lambda(x) \sim \ln\tau/\tau\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y)$. However, this option does not seem to yield any sensible spacetime picture for the smeared charges.

with $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}$ satisfying the wave equation

$$(D^2 - 1)\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0} = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

From this perspective, λ arises as the asymptotic value of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}$ on $\mathcal{H}^o$,

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0} \overset{\tau\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{\ln\tau}{\tau}\lambda(\hat{x}). \tag{5.10}$$

The structure is analogous to the gauge and gravity cases. There, the symmetry parameter on $\mathcal{H}^o$ ($\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}(y)$ in the present case) satisfies the same differential equation as the field associated to the unsmeared charge ($\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}(y)$ in the present case). The charge is then written as a Klein-Gordon symplectic product between the two fields and is thus conserved. In the present case, this amounts to define the following conserved charge:

$$Q_\tau = \int_{\tau=\text{const.}} dS_\alpha \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta \varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0} - \partial_\beta \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}). \tag{5.11}$$

The integral (5.11) can be evaluated in the $\tau \to \infty$ limit by using the fall-offs (4.17) and (5.10). Doing so one finds:

$$Q_{\tau=\infty} = \int_{S^2} d^2V \lambda(\hat{x})\phi_+(\hat{x}), \tag{5.12}$$

which precisely coincides with the charge (5.1). By taking the $\tau \to -\infty$ limit one obtains charge conservation between the asymptotic past and future smeared charges.

VI. SPACETIME INTERPRETATION OF CHARGES

Let us first recall the situation in gauge and gravity theories. There, the smeared charges correspond to generators of large local symmetries. Two important consequences follow from this fact. First, it explains why the charges depend only on asymptotic fields: The current associated to local symmetries is always a total derivative (see e.g. [84]),

$$j^a = \partial_b k^{ab} \tag{6.1}$$

where k^{ab} is a densitized antisymmetric tensor. Second, the presence of these symmetries imply a vacuum degeneracy, where different vacuum configurations are mapped to each other by the action of the asymptotic symmetry group.[6] To illustrate these features consider the case of electrodynamics. Here large local symmetries are given by transformations

$$\delta_\lambda A_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda \tag{6.2}$$

with $\Lambda(x) \to \lambda(\hat{x})$ at null infinity. The canonical charge associated to (6.2) may be computed by e.g. covariant phase space methods, resulting in an integral of a current of the type (6.1) with

$$k^{ab} = \sqrt{\eta}\Lambda F^{ab}. \tag{6.3}$$

[6] The choice of vacuum spontaneously breaks the asymptotic symmetry group, with soft photons/gravitons playing the role of Nambu–Goldstone bosons [43, 44].

The configurations $A_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda$ (with matter fields set to zero and modulo gauge transformations that vanish at infinity) represent the space of possible vacua.

We now go to the spin zero theory. So far we only have expressions for the charges but lack any symmetry or spacetime interpretation. In the following we attempt to remedy this. As a first step, we compute in subsection VI A the action of the charge on the asymptotic fields. The resulting expressions lead us to consider a spacetime field $\Lambda(x)$ introduced in the previous section together with a dilatation vector field $X^a = x^\mu \partial_\mu$. These objects are used in subsection VI B to obtain a current of the type (6.1) for the charges. Finally, in subsection VI C we focus on the transformation of the field φ, and explore its relation with the 'shift' transformation of the type occurring in (6.2).

A. Action on asymptotic fields

From the perspective of the asymptotic future, the smeared charge $Q^+[\lambda]$ (5.1) is defined on the space of asymptotic free fields. One can thus compute its action on the asymptotic fields by Poisson brackets/commutators. For the field φ the relevant term is the 'soft' charge (5.2) from which one finds,

$$\delta_\lambda \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u, \hat{x}) = -\lambda(\hat{x}), \tag{6.4}$$

Thus, asymptotically the field φ transforms by a 'shift'

$$\delta_\lambda \varphi = -\lambda(\hat{x})/r + \dots \tag{6.5}$$

where the dots denote terms subleading in $1/r$.

For the field ψ, it is easier to first study the transformation on the asymptotic Fock operator $b(\vec{p})$. The relevant piece is now the 'hard' charge (5.3) from which one concludes

$$\delta_\lambda b(\vec{p}) = -i \frac{g}{2m} \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(\vec{p}/m) b(\vec{p}). \tag{6.6}$$

Given the asymptotic form of ψ in terms of b (3.12), we can infer the asymptotic action on ψ. We seek an expression involving only spacetime quantities. Let us interpret $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ in (6.6) as the τ^{-1} coefficient of the spacetime field $\Lambda(x)$ (5.6). The appearance of an i in (6.6) suggests a time-derivative action on ψ. In order to compensate for the τ^{-1} term, we are lead to consider the time-derivative vector field:

$$X^a = \tau \partial_\tau, \tag{6.7}$$

which is just the dilatation vector field $x^\mu \partial_\mu$ expressed in hyperbolic coordinates. With these ingredients one concludes:

$$\delta_\lambda \psi = \frac{g}{2m^2} \Lambda X^a \partial_a \psi + \dots \tag{6.8}$$

where the dots denote terms subleading in $1/\tau$.

To summarize, the action of the charge $Q^+[\lambda]$ on the asymptotic fields is given by Eqns. (6.5), (6.8). Subleading terms are in principle determined by solving the field equations with the transformed asymptotic data.

What is the interpretation of this transformation? The appearance of the dilatation vector field in (6.8) suggests a relation to scale symmetry. This possibility will be explored in section VII A. The transformation for the field φ suggests a shift transformation of the

type (6.2). However on closer inspection one realizes the shift in (6.5) is not given by the naturally occurring field $\Lambda(x)$. The relation between (6.5) with shift symmetries of the type (6.2) will be explored in section VI C.

B. Spacetime current

Our aim is to find an antisymmetric k^{ab} whose current $j^a = \partial_b k^{ab}$ reproduces the smeared charges of section V. Note that this requirement only determines k^{ab} asymptotically but otherwise leaves it arbitrary in the bulk. Below we provide a k^{ab} that has a particularly simple spacetime form.

As in the gauge and gravity case, we seek for a k^{ab} that depends on the massless field $\varphi(x)$ and on the "symmetry parameter" field $\Lambda(x)$ introduced in the previous section. We will also need a third ingredient, the dilatation vector field

$$X^a = x^\mu \partial_\mu. \tag{6.9}$$

In terms of φ, Λ and X^a we define k^{ab} by:[7]

$$k^{ab} = \sqrt{\eta}\left((\nabla^a\varphi\Lambda - \nabla^a\Lambda\varphi)X^b - (a \leftrightarrow b)\right). \tag{6.10}$$

We now show this current reproduces the smeared charges. Let us start by discussing the charges form the spatial infinity perspective. Consider the hyperbolic coordinates $(\rho, \tau, \hat{x})$ adapted to spatial infinity. In these coordinates, the dilation vector field takes the form

$$X^a = \rho\partial_\rho. \tag{6.11}$$

Take a spacetime Cauchy slice Σ_τ that approaches a τ =const. slice as $\rho \to \infty$. The integral of j^a over Σ_τ is then given by

$$\int_{\Sigma_\tau} dS_a \partial_b k^{ab} = \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \int_{\tau=\text{const.}} d^2\hat{x} k^{\tau\rho}. \tag{6.12}$$

Using the $\rho \to \infty$ expansion of the fields one finds,

$$k^{\tau\rho} = \sqrt{h}h^{\tau\tau}(\partial_\tau\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0} - \partial_\tau\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^o}) + O(\rho^{-1}). \tag{6.13}$$

and one recovers the charge Q_τ given in Eq. (5.11).

We now discuss the charge from the perspective of the asymptotic future. Consider a family of Minkowski time t =constant slices and evaluate the integral of j^a in a $t \to \infty$ limit:

$$Q^\infty := \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{t=\text{const.}} dS_a j^a. \tag{6.14}$$

Since j^a is built out of both massless and massive fields, there appears two contributions to (6.14) one associated to null infinity and the other to time-like infinity [55]. To study the null infinity contribution we go to retarded (r, u) coordinates. In these coordinates the

[7] An equivalent form is $k^{ab} = 2\sqrt{\eta}\left(\Lambda\nabla^{[a}\varphi X^{b]} - \varphi\nabla^{[a}\Lambda X^{b]}\right)$ where we used that $\nabla^{[a}X^{b]} = 0$.

dilatation vector field reads

$$X^a = r\partial_r + u\partial_u, \tag{6.15}$$

φ has the expansion (3.3) and $\Lambda(x)$ the expansion (see appendix C)

$$\Lambda(r,u,\hat{x}) = \frac{\ln r}{2r}\lambda(\hat{x}) - \frac{1}{2r}\ln|2u|\lambda(\hat{x}) + O(r^{-1-\epsilon}). \tag{6.16}$$

From these expressions one can evaluate (6.14) in the $t \to \infty, u =$const. limit to obtain the null infinity contribution to the charge:

$$Q^{\mathcal{I}^+} := \lim_{t\to\infty}\int du d^2\hat{x}\partial_u k^{ru} \tag{6.17}$$

$$= -\int du d^2\hat{x}\lambda(\hat{x})\partial_u\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{x}), \tag{6.18}$$

which recovers (5.2). Note that the result is not entirely obvious since there are potentially logarithmic divergent terms in (6.17) that either cancel out or integrate to zero. See appendix C for details.

The time-like infinity contribution to (6.14) is given by evaluating the integral in the limit where $t \to \infty$ with $r/t =$const. As before, this limit is most conveniently described in hyperbolic coordinates adapted to time infinity. In this coordinates the dilatation vector field is given by Eq. (6.7). The time-like infinity contribution is found to be given by:

$$Q^{\mathcal{H}^+} := \lim_{\tau\to\infty}\int_{\tau=\text{const.}}\partial_\alpha k^{\tau\alpha} \tag{6.19}$$

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{H}^+}\partial_\alpha\left(\sqrt{h}h^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+} - \partial_\beta\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+})\right) \tag{6.20}$$

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{H}^+} d^3V\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}j. \tag{6.21}$$

The second line follows from the time-infinity fall-offs and the second line from the field equations at time-infinity. Expression (6.21) recovers (5.3).[8]

In this way we see how the current $j^a = \partial_b k^{ab}$ reproduces the expected charge in the asymptotic future. Similar result applies to the asymptotic past charge.

C. Relation with shift symmetry

To elucidate further the role of $\Lambda(x)$, in this section we study 'shift symmetries' of the free massless scalar theory. We will discuss in parallel the free Maxwell and linearized gravity cases (in harmonic gauge) to highlight their similarities.

As described below, the naturally occurring 'shift' field for the massless spin $s = 0, 1, 2$ theory can be written in the simple form,

$$\Lambda^{(s)}(x) := -\frac{(x\cdot x)^s}{4\pi}\int d^2\hat{q}\,\frac{\lambda(\hat{q})}{(q\cdot x)^{s+1}}\,. \tag{6.22}$$

[8] One can also get this result by evaluating (6.20) in terms of the $\rho \to \infty$ asymptotics of $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}$.

$\Lambda^{(s)}(x)$ depends on a function on the sphere $\lambda(\hat{q})$ which determines its asymptotic value.[9] It satisfies the scaling behavior,

$$t^{(1-s)}\Lambda^{(s)}(tx) = \Lambda^{(s)}(x), \tag{6.23}$$

and obeys the free wave equation

$$\Box\Lambda^{(s)} = 0. \tag{6.24}$$

For $s = 0$ (6.22) becomes the expression for the field $\Lambda(x)$ introduced in Eq. (5.7). For $s = 1$ (6.22) corresponds to the large $U(1)$ gauge parameter in harmonic gauge given in [55] which satisfies

$$\Lambda^{(1)} \to \lambda(\hat{x}) \tag{6.25}$$

at null infinity. Finally, for $s = 2$ the vector field defined by $\xi_a := \partial_a\Lambda^{(2)}$ corresponds to the supertranslation vector field in harmonic gauge given in [56] which satisfies

$$\xi^a \to \lambda(\hat{x})\partial_u \tag{6.26}$$

at null infinity. These three parameters yield the following family of 'shifted vacua' for the scalar, Maxwell and gravity theories:

$$\varphi = \Lambda^{(0)} \tag{6.27}$$
$$A_\mu = \partial_\mu\Lambda^{(1)} \tag{6.28}$$
$$h_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Lambda^{(2)}. \tag{6.29}$$

Condition (6.23) implies all three solutions are scale invariant (with the standard scaling dimension 1).

So far we have tried to exhibit the similarities between the different massless theories. We now discuss the differences between the $s = 0$ and $s = 1, 2$ cases. First, the configurations (6.28), (6.29) correspond to nontrivial vacuum configurations associated to the large local symmetry group.[10] In particular, all these solutions have zero energy. One may be tempted to interpret (6.27) as a non-trivial vacuum solution. However if one tries to compute the energy associated to this configuration one gets infinity![11] Related to this is the fact that the transformation generated by the 'soft theorem charge' does not yield a solution of the type (6.27) but rather one of the form given in Eq. (6.5) (which does have zero energy).

As discussed earlier, in the gauge and gravity cases the currents associated to the 'shift' transformations leading to (6.28) and (6.29) are total derivatives as in (6.1). This is not so in the scalar case. The shift transformation

$$\delta_\Lambda\varphi = \Lambda \tag{6.30}$$

with $\Box\Lambda = 0$ is a symmetry of the free φ Lagrangian with Noether current given by:

$$j^a[\Lambda] = \sqrt{\eta}(\varphi\nabla^a\Lambda - \Lambda\nabla^a\varphi) \tag{6.31}$$

---

[9] One can also consider Poincare transformed versions of (6.22). These are however redundant from the perspective of infinity, namely $\Lambda_\lambda(L^\mu_\nu x^\nu + b^\mu) \to \Lambda_{\lambda^L}(x)$ with $\lambda^L(\hat{q})$ a Lorentz transformed version of $\lambda(\hat{q})$.

[10] To simplify the discussion we are ignoring "superrotated" vacua in the gravity case.

[11] This can be achieved, for instance, by evaluating the total energy near null infinity using the expansion (6.16).

which is not of the total derivative form. One may ask if there is any relation between (6.31) and the total derivative current (6.10) . If we denote by

$$\delta_X \varphi = \varphi + X^a \partial_a \varphi \tag{6.32}$$

the action of dilatations on φ then one finds (in the free theory case)

$$\delta_X j^a[\Lambda] = -\partial_b k^{ab}, \tag{6.33}$$

with k^{ab} given in Eq. (6.10). Further elucidation of these structures is left for the future.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this work we have studied a family of soft factorization theorems for scalar particles and showed their equivalence to Ward identities of an infinite number of new asymptotic charges. We identified the charges in terms of asymptotic fields and described their conservation from the perspective of spatial infinity. Finally, we considered smeared version of these charges and explored them from a spacetime perspective. We made significant progress towards a description of the symmetries behind the charges, but a complete understanding of them is still missing.

The analysis performed in this work opens up a number of interesting research directions we would like to outline now.

A. Relation with scale symmetry

One of the open issues regards the nature of the symmetry generated by the charges. The appearance of the dilatation vector field in (6.8) suggests a link with (broken) scale invariance which we now discuss.

Nambu–Goldstone boson associated to broken scale invariance is called a dilaton[12] [92]. It is known that a soft theorem for the dilaton is a consequence of a Ward identity for the broken scale symmetry [92, 94]. Recently, these considerations have been extended to subleading [93] and sub-subleading [12] orders in the soft momentum.

We would like to relate these developments to our results. For concreteness, we focus on the scalar ψ theory in four dimensions, but similar considerations apply to matter fields with other spins. The interaction Lagrangian (2.1) may be obtained from a conformally invariant theory as follows [94]: We start with ψ as a massless field coupled to a massless scalar χ (the 'dilaton') by the conformally invariant interaction

$$\mathcal{L}^{\psi\chi}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\psi^2\chi^2. \tag{7.1}$$

Next, we write $\chi = v + \varphi$, with v a constant representing a vev for χ which spontaneously

[12] The name *dilaton* is also used in reference to a scalar particle in supergravity and string theory, which also have associated soft theorems, see discussion in [12]. Despite the same name, however, it has nothing to do with the Nambu–Goldstone dilaton under discussion here.

breaks the scale invariance. Expanding in powers of φ one obtains a mass term for ψ with,

$$m^2 = \lambda v^2 \tag{7.2}$$

an interaction term as (1.1) with

$$g = -2\lambda v \tag{7.3}$$

and a term $-\frac{\lambda}{2}\psi^2\varphi^2$ that does not affect the leading soft theorem. One may then compute standard Ward identities associated to conformal symmetries [94]. In [12, 93] it is shown how such Ward identities imply soft factorizations up to sub-subleading order. For the leading order term one finds

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = -\frac{m^2}{v}\sum_{i\in\psi}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\mathcal{A}_n + \dots, \tag{7.4}$$

where the sum runs over massive particles ψ only. This corresponds to the soft theorem (2.2) after using (7.2) and (7.3).

Since the authors of [12, 93] obtain the soft theorem from (broken) scale symmetry, and we have here obtained charges from the soft theorem, there should be a way to go directly from the broken scale symmetry to the charges (and associated symmetries) discussed in this paper. From a path integral perspective, the Ward identities for scale symmetry are obtained by a change of integration variable $\psi \to \psi + \varepsilon(x)\delta_X\psi$ where δ_X is the action of dilatations and $\varepsilon(x)$ an arbitrary spacetime function. For the derivation of soft theorems one choses $\varepsilon(x) = e^{-iq\cdot x}$ with $q^\mu \to 0$. Perhaps a choice $\varepsilon(x) \sim \Lambda(x)$ could be used to recover the charges discussed here and help clarify their relation to scale symmetry. Presumably the observation made in section VI C that $\Lambda(x)$ is scale invariant will play a role. We leave a more in-depth exploration of this connection for future research.

Another interesting question is how to obtain conserved charges associated to the sub-leading terms in the soft expansion of the dilaton [12, 93]. In order to do so, one would have to consider the full Lagrangian after symmetry breaking, since interaction terms other than (2.1) will necessarily contribute towards the soft theorem beyond the leading order.

B. Massiveness of ψ

From the perspective of the soft theorems, the restriction of ψ being massive appears as an artificial condition. The soft theorems are valid regardless the value of the mass of the 'hard' particles.[13] In fact, the charges and Ward identities obtained in section II are valid for massless ψ.

Difficulties arise when attempting to write the charges in terms of asymptotic fields. This becomes particularly evident in the analysis of section IV. To illustrate the point, let us compare with the spin 1 case. There, the 'unsmeared' charge is given by [43, 54]

$$Q^{\rm QED}_{\hat{x}} = \overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur}(u=-\infty,\hat{x}) \tag{7.5}$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\partial_u\overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur}(u,\hat{x})du + \overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur}(u=\infty,\hat{x}), \tag{7.6}$$

[13] Note however that in the massless, spin 1/2 case the soft theorem trivializes.

where $\overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur}$ is the leading term of the field strength at null infinity. The first term in (7.6) represents the null-infinity contribution to the charge and the second term the time-infinity contribution. The field equations at null infinity tell

$$\partial_u \overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur} = \partial_u D^A \mathcal{A}_A - j_u \tag{7.7}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_A(u, \hat{x})$ is the 'free-data' photon field and j_u the current leaking through null infinity. In the presence of massless charged fields, j_u accounts for the 'hard' part of $Q^{\rm QED}_{\hat{x}}$ due to massless fields. The term $\overset{-2}{\mathcal{F}}_{ur}(u = \infty, \hat{x})$ gives the hard contribution due to massive fields. The analogue of Eq. (7.6) in our case is

$$Q_{\hat{x}} = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \partial_u \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u, \hat{x}) du + \varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u = \infty, \hat{x}). \tag{7.8}$$

However there is no analogue of Eq. (7.7) since $\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u, \hat{x})$ is already the free-data for φ. From this perspective there is no way to get a hard contribution due to massless fields![14] The difficulty seems to arise because of the scalar nature of φ. Already for spin 1/2 fields there is a constraint-type equation at null infinity that can yield a null infinity hard contribution [57].

Whereas this shows that the analysis section IV will not extend to massless ψ, our hope is that some of the later discussions (particularly in section VI) could be generalized to the massless case. Our main interest here is to understand the massless cubic theory described next.

C. Towards double-copy at null infinity

Perhaps the most interesting scalar theory from the perspective of soft theorems is the bi-adjoint scalar [66, 67]. It is a generalization of the usual cubic scalar theory into a field $\phi_{a\tilde{a}}$, which transforms in the adjoint representation of $U(N) \times U(\tilde{N})$. The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\, \partial_\mu \phi_{a\tilde{a}}\, \partial^\mu \phi^{a\tilde{a}} + \frac{g}{3!}\, f_{abc}\, \tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}\, \phi^{a\tilde{a}} \phi^{b\tilde{b}} \phi^{c\tilde{c}}, \tag{7.9}$$

where f_{abc} and $\tilde{f}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ are structure constants of the two color groups.

Bi-adjoint scalar plays a crucial a part in the so-called *double-copy* relations [68, 69] between gravity and Yang-Mills amplitudes, which relate the three theories on the level of scattering amplitudes. In this context, soft theorems for gravity amplitudes can be derived [11] from the knowledge of soft theorems of gluons and scalars.[15] Let us summarize them in

[14] Strictly speaking the above argument should be made in dimensions greater than 4. In $d = 4$ the situation is even worse in that the usual $1/r$ expansion at null infinity is not consistent with the field equation $\Box\varphi = -g\psi^2$ if ψ is massless.

[15] See [85] for a generalization to string theory amplitudes.

a suggestive way:

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\rm GR} \xrightarrow{\rm soft} \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(\epsilon\cdot p_i)(\tilde{\epsilon}\cdot p_i)}{p_i\cdot q}\right)\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm GR}+\ldots, \tag{7.10}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\rm YM} \xrightarrow{\rm soft} \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\boldsymbol{T}_i\,(\epsilon\cdot p_i)}{p_i\cdot q}\right)\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm YM}+\ldots, \tag{7.11}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\rm bi-adjoint} \xrightarrow{\rm soft} \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\boldsymbol{T}_i\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_i}{p_i\cdot q}\right)\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm bi-adjoint}+\ldots, \tag{7.12}$$

where ϵ^μ is a polarization of the gluon, $\epsilon^\mu\tilde{\epsilon}^\nu$ is a polarization of the graviton, and $\boldsymbol{T}_i$ is a color matrix associated to the particle i. One can observe a double-copy structure, in which kinematic numerators $\epsilon\cdot p_i$ and color factors $\boldsymbol{T}_i$ get exchanged. In fact, just the knowledge of the Yang-Mills factorization (7.11) is enough to determine the other two, using the standard color-kinematics replacement rules [68, 69].

Given this structure, one can ask: Can the double-copy relations have an interpretation on the level of asymptotic symmetries? Indeed, recently there has been a great deal of progress [70–75] in producing a double-copy dictionary for solutions of equations of motion, e.g., between color charges in gauge theory and black holes in gravity [70, 72]. This provides evidence that the double-copy relations can be understood beyond scattering amplitudes.

In this work we have taken first steps into understanding asymptotic symmetries for scalar fields. We believe that techniques used in this paper will allow us to study the bi-adjoint scalar theory and eventually the double-copy at null infinity, perhaps by relating it to the work [76, 77].

It is important to note that double-copy can relate other theories as well. Cachazo, He and Yuan found [86] that a special Galileon theory [87] can be expressed as a double-copy of the non-linear sigma model, see also [88, 89]. Recently, Cheung and Shen showed [90] how to manifest this relation on the level of the Lagrangian. Given it simple form, perhaps single [11] or double [10] soft limits in these effective field theories can also be understood from a spacetime perspective, providing a simpler setting for the study of double-copy at null infinity.

Acknowledgments

M.C. would like to thank Alok Laddha for insightful discussions and for suggesting studying the action of charges on asymptotic fields. S.M. would like to thank Freddy Cachazo and Andrew Strominger for useful discussions. We thank the organizers and participants of the Perimeter Institute workshop 'Infrared Problems in QED and Quantum Gravity' for creating a stimulating environment. This research was supported in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science.

Appendix A: Soft scalar theorems

In this appendix we derive the soft theorems discussed throughout this work. We follow the classic derivation due to Weinberg [1, 91] using a Feynman diagram argument. For any local theory, an external soft particle can couple to the remaining ones in two different ways, see. Fig. A.



FIG. A.1: Two topologies contributing in the soft limit. Wavy line denotes the soft particle, while straight lines denote the hard ones. All momenta are incoming. Only the left topology contributes to the leading soft behavior.

Theories considered in this work have a massless field φ coupled via a three-valent vertex to a massive field.[16] In these cases, the first class of diagrams behaves as $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$, yielding the leading contribution to the soft factor. Let us consider in turn theories with different spin of the massive field.

First, we study the spin-zero case given by the interaction Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} g \varphi \psi^2, \tag{A1}$$

where ψ is a massive real scalar field. Let us study a tree-level scattering amplitude with $n+1$ particles, where one massless scalar with incoming momentum ωq becomes soft, i.e., $w \to 0$. In this limit, the contribution from coupling to an external massive particle ψ with incoming momentum p_i is

$$ig \, \frac{-i}{(p_i + \omega q)^2 + m^2} \, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(p_1, \ldots, p_i + \omega q, \ldots, p_n), \tag{A2}$$

where the intermediate propagator corresponds to a massive particle due to the nature of the interaction term (A1). Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ represents the rest of the amplitude. In the soft limit, $\omega \to 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{g}{2\omega} \frac{1}{p_i \cdot q} \, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(p_1, \ldots, p_i, \ldots, p_n), \tag{A3}$$

where now $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ becomes an on-shell amplitude for all particles except for the soft one. Sum-

[16] Note that we do not have to make any assumptions about self-interactions of the massive field, and we allow self-interactions of the massless field φ starting at quartic order.

ming over all diagrams contributing to this process gives us the soft factorization:

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;\omega q) \overset{\omega\to 0}{=} \frac{g}{2\omega}\sum_{i\in\psi}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\,\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n)+\mathcal{O}(1), \tag{A4}$$

where the sum proceeds over all external massive particles ψ, and the subleading terms come from diagrams of different topology.

Having outlined a general strategy, we can now generalize to a spin-half case. We study Dirac fermions in four dimensions coupled to the massless scalar via Yukawa interaction,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g\varphi\bar{\Psi}\Psi. \tag{A5}$$

A contribution from an incoming particle Ψ becomes

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_i+\omega q,\ldots,p_n)\,ig\,\frac{-i(-\not{p}_i-\omega\not{q}+m)}{(p_i+\omega q)^2+m^2}\,u_{s_i}(\vec{p_i}). \tag{A6}$$

In the soft limit $\omega\to 0$ we find:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_i,\ldots,p_n)\,\frac{g}{2\omega}\frac{-\not{p}_i+m}{p_i\cdot q}\,u_{s_i}(\vec{p_i}). \tag{A7}$$

We now use the spinor identities,

$$\sum_{s'}u_{s'}(\vec{p_i})\bar{u}_{s'}(\vec{p_i}) = -\not{p}_i+m, \qquad\qquad \bar{u}_{s'}(\vec{p_i})u_{s_i}(\vec{p_i}) = 2m\delta_{s's_i}, \tag{A8}$$

to obtain the soft contribution:

$$\frac{gm}{\omega}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_i,\ldots,p_n)\,u_{s_i}(\vec{p_i}), \tag{A9}$$

where the spinor $u_{s_i}(\vec{p_i})$ is now decorating the particle which used to give the propagator. Note that it has the same spin and momentum as the original particle p_i. Similar expression can be obtained for an incoming anti-particle $\bar{\Psi}$, and outgoing particles using crossing symmetry. After summing over all contributions, we find the soft factorization:

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;\omega q) \overset{\omega\to 0}{=} \frac{gm}{\omega}\sum_{i\in\{\Psi,\bar{\Psi}\}}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\,\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n)+\mathcal{O}(1). \tag{A10}$$

The leading soft factor is proportional to the mass of the fermion. Since the limit $m\to 0$ is smooth, we conclude that the leading soft factor in a massless Yukawa theory vanishes.

Finally, let us consider the spin-one case. We couple the scalar to massive Z-bosons with the interaction term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2}g\varphi Z_\mu Z^\mu. \tag{A11}$$

Contribution from a single diagram for an incoming Z-boson with momentum p_i and polar-

ization λ_i is

$$ig\,\frac{-i(\eta_{\mu\nu}+(p_i+\omega q)_\mu(p_i+\omega q)_\nu/m^2)}{(p_i+\omega q)^2+m^2}\,\epsilon^\mu_{\lambda_i}(p_i)\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\nu_n(p_1,\ldots,p_i+\omega q,\ldots,p_n). \tag{A12}$$

Using the identities,

$$\sum_{\lambda'=\pm,0}\epsilon^{\mu *}_{\lambda'}(p_i)\epsilon^\nu_{\lambda'}(p_i)=\eta^{\mu\nu}+p_i^\mu p_i^\nu/m^2,\qquad \epsilon^*_{\lambda'}(p_i)\cdot\epsilon_{\lambda_i}(p_i)=\delta_{\lambda'\lambda_i}, \tag{A13}$$

and a derivation analogous to the previous cases, we find that in the soft limit,

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1,\ldots,p_n;\omega q)\overset{\omega\to 0}{=}\frac{g}{2\omega}\sum_{i\in Z}\frac{1}{p_i\cdot q}\,\mathcal{A}_n(p_1,\ldots,p_n)+\mathcal{O}(1). \tag{A14}$$

The discussion in this appendix has been restricted only to tree-level amplitudes. Unlike in the case of soft theorems studied so far [41, 43, 44, 57–59], at loop level the massless scalar considered in this work acquires mass. In this case, one cannot take a soft limit, meaning that the asymptotic symmetries identified in this work must be broken on a quantum level. However, it might be possible to introduce extra fields into the theories under considerations, such that an enhanced symmetry would protect masslessness of φ at loop level.

Appendix B: Green's functions on $\mathcal{H}^+$, $\mathcal{H}^o$

In these appendix we describe the differential operators and associated Green's functions that arise when expanding the fields at time and spatial infinity. Most of the considerations are particular cases of the general analysis given in [61]. But there is also some new (to us) material, for instance sections B 2 a and B 2 c.

1. (Future) Time-like infinity $\mathcal{H}^+$

Here we work in hyperbolic coordinates adapted to future time infinity

$$\tau=\sqrt{t^2-r^2},\quad \rho=\frac{r}{\sqrt{t^2-r^2}}, \tag{B1}$$

in terms of which the Minkowski line element reads:

$$ds^2=-d\tau^2+\tau^2 d\sigma^2 \tag{B2}$$

where

$$d\sigma^2=\frac{d\rho^2}{1+\rho^2}+\rho^2 q_{AB}dx^A dx^B\equiv h_{\alpha\beta}dy^\alpha dy^\beta \tag{B3}$$

is the metric of the future unit hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}^+$.

For a field of the form

$$\varphi(x)=\tau^{-n}\overset{(n)}{\varphi}(y) \tag{B4}$$

one has

$$\Box\varphi(x) = \tau^{-n-2}(D^2 \overset{(n)}{\varphi} + n(2-n)\overset{(n)}{\varphi}), \tag{B5}$$

where $D^2 \equiv h^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha D_\beta$ is the Laplacian on $\mathcal{H}^+$. By studying the differential operator on $\mathcal{H}^+$ defined by (B5) one concludes:

$$(D^2 + n(2-n))\overset{(n)}{\varphi} = 0 \implies \overset{(n)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\rho^n \quad \text{or} \quad \overset{(n)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\rho^{2-n} \tag{B6}$$

when $\rho \to \infty$. For the present paper we are interested in the $n = 1$ case. This happens to be the special case where the two independent asymptotic solutions (B6) coincide. What occurs then is that a second independent solution appears with a $\ln\rho$ dependence [61]:

$$(D^2 + 1)\overset{(1)}{\varphi} = 0 \implies \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\rho \quad \text{or} \quad \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \sim \ln\rho/\rho. \tag{B7}$$

The PDE problems appearing in our discussion are:[17]

$$(D^2 + 1)\overset{(1)}{\varphi} = j \ \text{ with } \ \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\rho \tag{B8}$$

$$(D^2 + 1)\overset{(1)}{\Lambda} = 0 \ \text{ with } \ \overset{(1)}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{\ln\rho}{\rho}\lambda(\hat{x}). \tag{B9}$$

We now describe the Green's function associated to each equation

a. Green's function for Eq. (B8)

We want to find the solution to

$$(D^2 + 1)\mathcal{G}(y; y') = \delta^{(3)}(y, y'). \tag{B10}$$

Due to the $SO(3,1)$ symmetry it has to be of the form

$$\mathcal{G}(y; y') = g(Y \cdot Y') \tag{B11}$$

for some function g. We find the general solution for $y \neq y'$ and then get the overall coefficient by requiring the appropriate $y \to y'$ behavior. Taking $\rho' = 0$ so that $Y \cdot Y' = -\sqrt{1+\rho^2}$ one finds the general solution to $(D^2 + 1)f = 0$ is given by

$$g = \frac{A}{\rho} + B\,\frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}\rho}{\rho}. \tag{B12}$$

The fall-off requirement in (B8) implies $B = 0$. The coefficient A can be obtained by demanding that for $\rho \to 0$ one recovers the flat space Green's function. This sets $A =$

---

[17] The source $j(y)$ decays fast enough at $\rho \to \infty$ so that the asymptotic form of $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}$ is still dictated by the source-free equation (B7). The absence of $\ln\rho/\rho$ term in $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}$ is due to the regular behaviour of φ at null infinity. It is also interesting to note that fields f such that $(D^2+1)f = 0$ with $f = O(1/\rho)$ are always singular at $\rho = 0$ (this can be established by writing the explicit solution in terms of spherical harmonics).

$-1/(4\pi)$. The Green's function is finally obtained by expressing ρ in terms of $-Y\cdot Y'$ resulting in

$$\mathcal{G}(y;y') = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(Y\cdot Y')^2-1}} \tag{B13}$$

b. Green's function for Eq. (B9)

We now seek for $G(y;\hat{q})$ such that

$$(D^2+1)G = 0, \quad G(\rho,\hat{x};\hat{q}) \sim \frac{\ln\rho}{\rho}\delta^{(2)}(\hat{x},\hat{q}'). \tag{B14}$$

We just state the solution and verify it satisfies the desired conditions:

$$G(y;\hat{q}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{Y\cdot q} \tag{B15}$$

where

$$Y\cdot q = -\sqrt{1+\rho^2} + \rho\hat{x}\cdot\hat{q} \tag{B16}$$

is the Minkowski product between Y^μ (3.19) and q^μ (2.4). First, one can verify that

$$D^2 f(Y\cdot q) = (Y\cdot q)^2 f''(Y\cdot q) + 3(Y\cdot q)f'(Y\cdot q) \tag{B17}$$

from which it follows that $(Y\cdot q)^{-1}$ satisfies the desired equation. Next we note that

$$G(y;\hat{q}) \sim \begin{cases} 1/\rho & \text{for } \hat{x}\neq\hat{q} \\ \rho & \text{for } \hat{x}=\hat{q} \end{cases} \tag{B18}$$

and

$$\int d^2\hat{q}\, G(y;\hat{q}) = \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}\rho}{\rho} \sim \frac{\ln\rho}{\rho} \tag{B19}$$

from which it follows that G satisfies the asymptotic behavior given in (B14).[18]

c. Relation between Green's functions and Eq. (4.4)

From the expressions above one can verify that the large ρ behavior of $\mathcal{G}$ is dictated by G according to[19]

$$\mathcal{G}(y;y') = -\frac{1}{\rho}G(y';\hat{x}) + O(\rho^{-3}) \tag{B20}$$

[18] The reasoning here is the same as the one given in [95]. The present case extends the analysis of [95] from $n>1$ to $n=1$.

[19] This is just a particular instance of more general relations between 'bulk-bulk' and 'bulk-boundary' Green's functions that are well known in the AdS/CFT literature.

We now have all elements to show Eq. (4.4):

$$\phi(u=+\infty,\hat{q}) = \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\rho\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(\rho,\hat{q}) \tag{B21}$$

$$= \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\rho\int d^3V'\mathcal{G}(\rho,\hat{q};y')j(y') \tag{B22}$$

$$= -\int d^3V'G(y';\hat{q})j(y') \tag{B23}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}Q^{\rm hard}_{\hat{q}}. \tag{B24}$$

The first equality arises from consistency of the null and time-infinity expansions. The second equality uses (4.7) and the third (B20). In the last equality we used the expressions (3.21) and (B15)

2. Spatial infinity $\mathcal{H}^o$

Hyperbolic coordinates adapted to spatial infinity are

$$\rho := \sqrt{r^2-t^2}, \quad \tau := \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2-t^2}} \tag{B25}$$

in terms of which the Minkowski line element reads:

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\sigma^2 \tag{B26}$$

with

$$d\sigma^2 = -\frac{d\tau^2}{1+\tau^2} + (1+\tau^2)q_{AB}dx^Adx^B =: h_{\alpha\beta}dy^\alpha dy^\beta \tag{B27}$$

the line element of the unit hyperboloid $\mathcal{H}^o$.

For a field of the form

$$\varphi(x) = \rho^{-n}\overset{(n)}{\varphi}(y) \tag{B28}$$

one has

$$\Box\varphi(x) = \rho^{-n-2}(D^2\overset{(n)}{\varphi} - n(2-n)\overset{(n)}{\varphi}), \tag{B29}$$

where $D^2 \equiv h^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha D_\beta$ is the wave operator on $\mathcal{H}^o$. By studying the differential operator on $\mathcal{H}^o$ defined by (B29) one concludes:

$$(D^2 - n(2-n))\overset{(n)}{\varphi} = 0 \implies \overset{(n)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\tau^n \quad \text{or} \quad \overset{(n)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\tau^{2-n} \tag{B30}$$

when $\tau \to \pm\infty$. Again, for $n=1$ these two asymptotic solutions coincide but a new one appears:

$$(D^2-1)\overset{(1)}{\varphi} = 0 \implies \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \sim 1/\tau \quad \text{or} \quad \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \sim \ln\tau/\tau. \tag{B31}$$

For the purposes of the present paper, we need the general solution associated to the each

type of fall-offs:

$$(D^2-1)\overset{(1)}{\varphi} = 0 \text{ with } \overset{(1)}{\varphi} \overset{\tau\to+\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\tau}\phi_+(\hat{x}) \tag{B32}$$

$$(D^2-1)\overset{(1)}{\Lambda} = 0 \text{ with } \overset{(1)}{\Lambda} \overset{\tau\to+\infty}{\sim} \frac{\ln\tau}{\tau}\lambda_+(\hat{x}). \tag{B33}$$

For definitiveness we consider the 'backwards' evolution problem of solving the wave equation with data on the asymptotic future. Note that, unlike the elliptic problem in $\mathcal{H}^+$, the source free equation (B31) has two independent solutions. This corresponds to the fact that we now have a Cauchy problem and two data are needed to solve the equation (the field and its momentum). Asymptotically the two data correspond to the different fall-offs.

We now describe the Green's function associated to each equation. We will just state them and verify they satisfy the desired conditions.

a. Solution to Eq. (B32)

The solution to (B32) we claim is given by:

$$\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2\hat{q}\,\delta(Y\cdot q)\phi_+(\hat{q}). \tag{B34}$$

First, we note that the analogue of Eq. (B6) in $\mathcal{H}^o$ is:

$$D^2 f(Y\cdot q) = -(Y\cdot q)^2 f''(Y\cdot q) - 3(Y\cdot q)f'(Y\cdot q). \tag{B35}$$

Noting the distributional identities $\sigma\delta'(\sigma) = -\delta(\sigma)$ and $\sigma^2\delta''(\sigma) = 2\delta(\sigma)$ one concludes that $D^2\delta(Y\cdot q) = \delta(Y\cdot q)$ and so (B34) satisfies the desired differential equation. To check for the boundary condition, note that the support of the Delta function in (B34) is given by

$$Y\cdot q = 0 \iff \hat{x}\cdot\hat{q} = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} \overset{\tau\to+\infty}{=} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\tau^2} + O(\tau^{-4}). \tag{B36}$$

If we denote by θ the angle between $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{q}$ then $\hat{x}\cdot\hat{q} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2$ for small θ. Thus for large τ the support of the Delta is a circle of radius τ^{-1} centered around $\hat{q} = \hat{x}$. It then follows that $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(y)$ defined by (B34) satisfies $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(\tau) \sim \tau^{-1}\phi_+(\hat{x})$ for $\tau\to+\infty$ as desired. The solution (B34) also allow us to evaluate $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}$ in the $\tau\to-\infty$ limit. In this case $\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} \sim -(1-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\tau^2})$ and the Delta becomes supported in a $|\tau|^{-1}$ radius circle centered at $\hat{q} = -\hat{x}$. This then implies relation (4.18).

b. Solution to Eq. (B33)

The solution to (B33) we claim is given by:

$$\overset{(1)}{\Lambda}(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^2\hat{q}\,\frac{\lambda_+(\hat{q})}{Y\cdot q}, \tag{B37}$$

which is the $\mathcal{H}^o$ version of the analogous expression found in the $\mathcal{H}^+$ case. The proof then goes parallel to that case. The differential equation can be seen to be satisfied by using Eq. (B35). For the boundary condition we note that

$$\frac{1}{Y\cdot q}\overset{\tau\to\pm\infty}{=}\begin{cases} O(1/\tau) & \text{for } \hat{x}\neq\pm\hat{q} \\ O(\tau) & \text{for } \hat{x}=\pm\hat{q}\end{cases} \tag{B38}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\frac{d^2\hat{q}}{Y\cdot q}=\frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}\overset{\tau\to\pm\infty}{\sim}\pm\frac{\ln|\tau|}{|\tau|}. \tag{B39}$$

from which the $\tau\to+\infty$ condition in (B33) follows. The $\tau\to-\infty$ limit yields the 'antipodal matching' $\lambda_-(\hat{x})=-\lambda_+(-\hat{x})$.

c. Relation between fields (B32) and (B33)

The solutions $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(y)$ and $\overset{(1)}{\Lambda}(y)$ given in (B34) and (B37) represent two type of solutions of the differential equation

$$(D^2-1)f=0. \tag{B40}$$

A geometrical characterization of each type of solution can be given by considering the inversion map on $\mathcal{H}^o$:

$$y^\alpha\to -y^\alpha:=(-\tau,-\sqrt{1+\tau^2}\hat{x})\qquad (Y^\mu\to -Y^\mu). \tag{B41}$$

From the integral representations (B34) and (B37) it follows that each type of field is of definite parity:

$$\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(-y)=\overset{(1)}{\varphi}(y)\quad\text{and}\quad\overset{(1)}{\Lambda}(-y)=-\overset{(1)}{\Lambda}(y). \tag{B42}$$

A general solution to (B40) will in general be a sum of the two type of solutions. Thus if we denote by Γ the space of all fields satisfying (B40) we have:

$$\Gamma=\Gamma^{\text{even}}\oplus\Gamma^{\text{odd}}. \tag{B43}$$

We now show that in fact (B43) corresponds to a "$q-p$" splitting when Γ is regarded as a phase space. Recall that fields satisfying a (massive) Klein-Gordon equation such as (B40) form a symplectic vector space under the usual Klein-Gordon symplectic product

$$\Omega(f,g)=\int_C dS_\alpha\sqrt{h}h^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta f g-\partial_\beta g f), \tag{B44}$$

where C is any Cauchy surface on $\mathcal{H}^o$. We claim that

$$\Omega(\overset{(1)}{\varphi},\overset{(1)}{\varphi}{}')=\Omega(\overset{(1)}{\Lambda},\overset{(1)}{\Lambda}{}')=0, \tag{B45}$$

$$\Omega(\overset{(1)}{\varphi},\overset{(1)}{\Lambda})=\int_{S^2}d^2V\lambda_+(\hat{x})\phi_+(\hat{x}). \tag{B46}$$

These results can be obtained by evaluating (B44) in the $\tau \to \infty$ slice and using the asymptotic forms of the fields. It is interesting however to see how Eq. (B45) arises for the $\tau = 0$ slice (recall Ω is independent of the choice of Cauchy slice).

From the $\tau = 0$ slice perspective, Γ is the space of initial conditions $(f, \dot{f})$ on the $\tau = 0$ sphere with symplectic product

$$\Omega((f, \dot{f}), (g, \dot{g})) = \int_{S^2} d^2V (\dot{g} f - \dot{f} g). \tag{B47}$$

By evaluating the ϕ and λ integral solutions (and their τ derivatives) at $\tau = 0$ one concludes that

$$(f, \dot{f}) \in \Gamma^{\text{even}} \iff f \text{ even }, \dot{f} \text{ odd} \tag{B48}$$

$$(f, \dot{f}) \in \Gamma^{\text{odd}} \iff f \text{ odd }, \dot{f} \text{ even} \tag{B49}$$

where the parity of $f, \dot{f}$ refers to S^2. Since an odd function on the sphere integrates to zero, it follows that (B47) vanishes if evaluated on either Γ^{even} or Γ^{odd}.

We finally note that the $\tau = 0$ sphere corresponds to the limiting spheres of $t =$constant spacetime slices Σ_t ($t =$ Minkowski time). From this perspective, Γ^{even} determines the asymptotic parity of φ-initial data on Σ_t. These are the scalar field analogue of the Regge-Teitelboim parity conditions in gravity [96].

Appendix C: Asymptotics of $\Lambda(x)$ at null infinity

Here we describe the null infinity behavior of Λ as given in Eq. (5.7). Rather than attempting a direct evaluation of (5.7) in the $r \to \infty, u =$const. limit, we will make use of the results from the previous appendix and work in hyperbolic coordinates as an intermediate step. Consider first hyperbolic coordinates adapted to future time infinity. (these only allow us to cover the $u > 0$ region of $\mathcal{I}^+$). In these coordinates we have:

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^+}(y)}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\rho\tau}(\ln \rho \lambda(\hat{x}) + O(\rho^{-1})). \tag{C1}$$

We now write this expression in retarded u, r coordinates. Noting that $\rho\tau = r$ and

$$\rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2ur + u^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{r}{2u}} \left(1 + O(r^{-1})\right), \tag{C2}$$

$$\ln \rho = \frac{1}{2} \ln r - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2u) + O(r^{-1}) \tag{C3}$$

one finds

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2r} \lambda(\hat{x})(\ln r - \ln(2u) + O(r^{-1/2})) \qquad (u > 0). \tag{C4}$$

We recall the above expression is only valid for $u > 0$. To cover the $u < 0$ region we consider the expression of $\Lambda(x)$ in hyperbolic coordinates adapted to spatial infinity:

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}^0}(y)}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho\tau}(\ln \tau \lambda(\hat{x}) + O(\tau^{-1})). \tag{C5}$$

In terms of (r,u) coordinates we now have $\rho\tau = r+u$ and

$$\tau = \frac{r+u}{\sqrt{-2ur-u^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{r}{-2u}}\left(1+O(r^{-1})\right), \tag{C6}$$

leading to

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2r}\lambda(\hat{x})(\ln r - \ln(-2u) + O(r^{-1/2})) \qquad (u<0). \tag{C7}$$

Combining (C4) and (C7) we obtain (6.16).

1. Eq. (6.18)

We concluded that near null infinity $\Lambda(x)$ has an expansion of the form

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{\ln r}{r}\overset{(-1,\ln)}{\lambda}(u,\hat{x}) + \frac{1}{r}\overset{(-1)}{\lambda}(u,\hat{x}) + O(r^{-1-\epsilon}) \tag{C8}$$

with

$$\overset{(-1,\ln)}{\lambda}(u,\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\hat{x}), \quad \overset{(-1)}{\lambda}(u,\hat{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}\ln|2u|\lambda(\hat{x}). \tag{C9}$$

From (C8) one finds the following null infinity expansion for k^{ru} (6.10):

$$k^{ru} = -(\ln r \overset{(-1,\ln)}{\lambda} + \overset{(-1)}{\lambda})u\partial_u\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+} + (u\partial_u\overset{(-1)}{\lambda} - \overset{(-1,\ln)}{\lambda})\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+} + O(r^{-\epsilon}) \tag{C10}$$

The $u\to\pm\infty$ fall-offs for $\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}$ are such that $\partial_u\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+} = O(u^{-1-\epsilon})$. This implies the first term in (C10) vanishes when $u\to\pm\infty$ and so it does not contribute to the charge (6.17) (in particular the potential logarithmic divergence is absent). From (C9) one finds the second term is

$$(u\partial_u\overset{(-1)}{\lambda} - \overset{(-1,\ln)}{\lambda})\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+} = -\varphi_{\mathcal{I}^+}(u,\hat{x})\lambda(\hat{x}), \tag{C11}$$

from which (6.18) follows.

---

[1] S. Weinberg, "Infrared photons and gravitons," Phys. Rev. **140**, B516 (1965). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.140.B516

[2] F. E. Low, "Bremsstrahlung of very low-energy quanta in elementary particle collisions," Phys. Rev. **110**, 974 (1958). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.110.974

[3] T. H. Burnett and N. M. Kroll, "Extension of the low soft photon theorem," Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 86 (1968). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.86

[4] M. Gell-Mann and M. L. Goldberger, "Scattering of low-energy photons by particles of spin 1/2," Phys. Rev. **96**, 1433 (1954). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.96.1433

[5] D. J. Gross and R. Jackiw, "Low-Energy Theorem for Graviton Scattering," Phys. Rev. **166**, 1287 (1968). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.166.1287

[6] R. Jackiw, "Low-Energy Theorems for Massless Bosons: Photons and Gravitons," Phys. Rev. **168**, 1623 (1968). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.168.1623

[7] C. F. Cho and N. D. Hari Dass, "A Low Momentum Transfer for the Energy Momentum Tensor and One Graviton Exchange Processes," MPI-PAE-PTH-25-75.

[8] C. D. White, "Factorization Properties of Soft Graviton Amplitudes," JHEP **1105**, 060 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2011)060 [arXiv:1103.2981 [hep-th]].

[9] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, "Evidence for a New Soft Graviton Theorem," arXiv:1404.4091 [hep-th].

[10] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "New Double Soft Emission Theorems," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 6, 065030 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.065030 [arXiv:1503.04816 [hep-th]].

[11] F. Cachazo, P. Cha and S. Mizera, "Extensions of Theories from Soft Limits," JHEP **1606**, 170 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2016)170 [arXiv:1604.03893 [hep-th]].

[12] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta, M. Mojaza and J. Nohle, "New soft theorems for the gravity dilaton and the Nambu-Goldstone dilaton at subsubleading order," Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 8, 085015 (2016)

[13] M. Bianchi, S. He, Y. t. Huang and C. Wen, "More on Soft Theorems: Trees, Loops and Strings," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 6, 065022 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.065022 [arXiv:1406.5155 [hep-th]].

[14] E. Casali, "Soft sub-leading divergences in Yang-Mills amplitudes," JHEP **1408**, 077 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2014)077

[15] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, "Complete N-Point Superstring Disk Amplitude II. Amplitude and Hypergeometric Function Structure," Nucl. Phys. B **873**, 461 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.04.022 [arXiv:1106.2646 [hep-th]].

[16] B. U. W. Schwab, "Subleading Soft Factor for String Disk Amplitudes," JHEP **1408**, 062 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2014)062 [arXiv:1406.4172 [hep-th]].

[17] A. Sen, "Soft Theorems in Superstring Theory," arXiv:1702.03934 [hep-th].

[18] C. D. White, "Diagrammatic insights into next-to-soft corrections," Phys. Lett. B **737**, 216 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.08.041 [arXiv:1406.7184 [hep-th]].

[19] T. Klose, T. McLoughlin, D. Nandan, J. Plefka and G. Travaglini, "Double-Soft Limits of Gluons and Gravitons," JHEP **1507**, 135 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)135 [arXiv:1504.05558 [hep-th]].

[20] A. P. Saha, "Double Soft Theorem for Perturbative Gravity II: Some Details on CHY Soft Limits," arXiv:1702.02350 [hep-th].

[21] Y. t. Huang and C. Wen, "Soft theorems from anomalous symmetries," JHEP **1512**, 143 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)143 [arXiv:1509.07840 [hep-th]].

[22] A. Luna, S. Melville, S. G. Naculich and C. D. White, "Next-to-soft corrections to high energy scattering in QCD and gravity," JHEP **1701**, 052 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2017)052 [arXiv:1611.02172 [hep-th]].

[23] H. Elvang, C. R. T. Jones and S. G. Naculich, "Soft Photon and Graviton Theorems in Effective Field Theory," arXiv:1611.07534 [hep-th].

[24] M. Ademollo, A. D'Adda, R. D'Auria, F. Gliozzi, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto and P. Di Vecchia, "Soft Dilations and Scale Renormalization in Dual Theories," Nucl. Phys. B **94**, 221 (1975). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(75)90491-5

[25] B. U. W. Schwab, "A Note on Soft Factors for Closed String Scattering," JHEP **1503**, 140 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2015)140 [arXiv:1411.6661 [hep-th]].

[26] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta and M. Mojaza, "Soft theorem for the graviton, dilaton and the Kalb-Ramond field in the bosonic string," JHEP **1505**, 137 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)137 [arXiv:1502.05258 [hep-th]].

[27] M. Bianchi and A. L. Guerrieri, "On the soft limit of open string disk amplitudes with massive states," JHEP **1509**, 164 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)164 [arXiv:1505.05854 [hep-th]].

[28] M. Bianchi, A. L. Guerrieri, Y. t. Huang, C. J. Lee and C. Wen, "Exploring soft constraints on effective actions," JHEP **1610**, 036 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2016)036 [arXiv:1605.08697 [hep-th]].

[29] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta and M. Mojaza, "Soft behavior of a closed massless state in superstring and universality in the soft behavior of the dilaton," JHEP **1612**, 020 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2016)020 [arXiv:1610.03481 [hep-th]].

[30] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta and M. Mojaza, "Subsubleading soft theorems of gravitons and dilatons in the bosonic string," JHEP **1606**, 054 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2016)054 [arXiv:1604.03355 [hep-th]].

[31] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta and M. Mojaza, "Double-soft behavior for scalars and gluons from string theory," JHEP **1512**, 150 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)150 [arXiv:1507.00938 [hep-th]].

[32] Z. W. Liu, "Soft theorems in maximally supersymmetric theories," Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 3, 105 (2015) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3304-1 [arXiv:1410.1616 [hep-th]].

[33] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, "Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **269**, 21 (1962). doi:10.1098/rspa.1962.0161

[34] R. K. Sachs, "Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **270**, 103 (1962). doi:10.1098/rspa.1962.0206

[35] A. Ashtekar and M. Streubel, "Symplectic Geometry of Radiative Modes and Conserved Quantities at Null Infinity," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **376**, 585 (1981)

[36] A. Ashtekar, "Radiative Degrees of Freedom of the Gravitational Field in Exact General Relativity," J. Math. Phys. **22**, 2885 (1981)

[37] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional spacetimes at null infinity revisited," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 111103 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2617 [gr-qc]

[38] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence," JHEP **1005**, 062 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1541 [hep-th]]

[39] A. Ashtekar, "Geometry and Physics of Null Infinity," arXiv:1409.1800 [gr-qc]

[40] A. Strominger, "Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory," arXiv:1703.05448 [hep-th].

[41] A. Strominger, "Asymptotic Symmetries of Yang-Mills Theory," JHEP **1407**, 151 (2014)

[42] A. Strominger, "On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering," JHEP **1407**, 152 (2014)

[43] T. He, P. Mitra, A. P. Porfyriadis and A. Strominger, "New Symmetries of Massless QED," JHEP **1410**, 112 (2014)

[44] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, "BMS supertranslations and Weinbergs soft graviton theorem," JHEP **1505**, 151 (2015)

[45] D. Kapec, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, "Asymptotic Symmetries of Massless QED in Even Dimensions," arXiv:1412.2763 [hep-th]

[46] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, "Higher-Dimensional Supertranslations and Weinberg's Soft Graviton Theorem," arXiv:1502.07644 [gr-qc]

[47] D. Kapec, V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, "Semiclassical Virasoro symmetry of the quantum gravity $\mathcal{S}$-matrix," JHEP **1408**, 058 (2014)

[48] V. Lysov, S. Pasterski and A. Strominger, "Low's Subleading Soft Theorem as a Symmetry of QED," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 11, 111601 (2014)

[49] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem," Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 12, 124028 (2014); "New symmetries for the Gravitational S-matrix," JHEP **1504**, 076 (2015)

[50] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Subleading soft photons and large gauge transformations," JHEP **1611**, 012 (2016)

[51] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Sub-subleading soft gravitons: New symmetries of quantum gravity?," Phys. Lett. B **764**, 218 (2017);"Sub-subleading soft gravitons and large diffeomorphisms," JHEP **1701**, 036 (2017)

[52] E. Conde and P. Mao, "Remarks on asymptotic symmetries and the subleading soft photon theorem," Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 2, 021701 (2017)

[53] E. Conde and P. Mao, "BMS Supertranslations and Not So Soft Gravitons," arXiv:1612.08294 [hep-th].

[54] D. Kapec, M. Pate and A. Strominger, "New Symmetries of QED," arXiv:1506.02906 [hep-th]

[55] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Asymptotic symmetries of QED and Weinberg's soft photon theorem," JHEP **1507**, 115 (2015)

[56] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, "Asymptotic symmetries of gravity and soft theorems for massive particles," JHEP **1512**, 094 (2015)

[57] T. T. Dumitrescu, T. He, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, "Infinite-Dimensional Fermionic Symmetry in Supersymmetric Gauge Theories," arXiv:1511.07429 [hep-th

[58] S. G. Avery and B. U. W. Schwab, "Residual Local Supersymmetry and the Soft Gravitino," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 17, 171601 (2016);

[59] V. Lysov, "Asymptotic Fermionic Symmetry From Soft Gravitino Theorem," arXiv:1512.03015 [hep-th]

[60] A. Campoleoni, D. Francia and C. Heissenberg, "On higher-spin supertranslations and superrotations," arXiv:1703.01351 [hep-th]

[61] J. de Boer and S. N. Solodukhin, "A Holographic reduction of Minkowski space-time," Nucl. Phys. B **665**, 545 (2003)

[62] S. N. Solodukhin, "Reconstructing Minkowski space-time," IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. **8**, 123 (2005)

[63] C. Cheung, A. de la Fuente and R. Sundrum, "4D scattering amplitudes and asymptotic symmetries from 2D CFT," JHEP **1701**, 112 (2017)

[64] S. Pasterski, S. H. Shao and A. Strominger, "Flat Space Amplitudes and Conformal Symmetry of the Celestial Sphere," arXiv:1701.00049 [hep-th].

[65] C. Cardona and Y. t. Huang, "S-matrix singularities and CFT correlation functions," arXiv:1702.03283 [hep-th].

[66] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, "Algebras for Amplitudes," JHEP **1206**, 061 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)061 [arXiv:1203.0944 [hep-th]].

[67] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons," JHEP **1407**, 033 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)033 [arXiv:1309.0885 [hep-th]].

[68] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, "New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 085011 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.085011 [arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph]].

[69] Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y. t. Huang and M. Kiermaier, "Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 065003 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.065003 [arXiv:1004.0693 [hep-th]].

[70] R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell and C. D. White, "Black holes and the double copy," JHEP **1412**, 056 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2014)056 [arXiv:1410.0239 [hep-th]].

[71] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell and C. D. White, "The classical double copy for TaubNUT spacetime," Phys. Lett. B **750**, 272 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.021 [arXiv:1507.01869 [hep-th]].

[72] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, D. O'Connell and C. D. White, "The double copy: Bremsstrahlung and accelerating black holes," JHEP **1606**, 023 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2016)023 [arXiv:1603.05737 [hep-th]].

[73] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, A. Ochirov, D. O'Connell, N. Westerberg and C. D. White, "Perturbative spacetimes from Yang-Mills theory," arXiv:1611.07508 [hep-th].

[74] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, "Radiation and the classical double copy for color charges," arXiv:1611.03493 [hep-th].

[75] C. D. White, "Exact solutions for the biadjoint scalar field," Phys. Lett. B **763**, 365 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.10.052 [arXiv:1606.04724 [hep-th]].

[76] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, L. J. Hughes and S. Nagy, "Yang-Mills origin of gravitational symmetries," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 23, 231606 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.231606 [arXiv:1408.4434 [hep-th]].

[77] L. Borsten and M. J. Duff, "Gravity as the square of YangMills?," Phys. Scripta **90**, 108012 (2015) doi:10.1088/0031-8949/90/10/108012 [arXiv:1602.08267 [hep-th]].

[78] V. P. Frolov, "Null Surface Quantization and Quantum Field Theory in Asymptotically Flat Space-Time," Fortsch. Phys. **26**, 455 (1978).

[79] M. Campiglia, R. Eyheralde, "Asymptotic $U(1)$ charges at spatial infinity", pre-print.

[80] A. Ashtekar and R. O. Hansen, "A unified treatment of null and spatial infinity in general relativity. I - Universal structure, asymptotic symmetries, and conserved quantities at spatial infinity," J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1542 (1978)

[81] A. Ashtekar, "Asymptotic Structure of the Gravitational Field at Spatial Infinity" In: General Relativity and Gravitation: One Hundred Years After the birth of Albert Einstein, edited by A. Held, (Plenum, New York, 1980).

[82] A. Ashtekar and R. Penrose, "Mass Positivity from Focussing and the Structure of i^o ", Twistor Newsletter, 31 (1991)

[83] Beig, R., Schmidt, B. G., "Einstein's equations near spatial infinity", Comm. Math. Phys. 87 (1982), no. 1, 65–80

[84] S. G. Avery and B. U. W. Schwab, "Noether's second theorem and Ward identities for gauge symmetries," JHEP **1602**, 031 (2016)

[85] S. Mizera, "Inverse of the String Theory KLT Kernel," arXiv:1610.04230 [hep-th].

[86] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, "Scattering Equations and Matrices: From Einstein To Yang-Mills, DBI and NLSM," JHEP **1507**, 149 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)149 [arXiv:1412.3479 [hep-th]].

[87] K. Hinterbichler and A. Joyce, "Hidden symmetry of the Galileon," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 2, 023503 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.023503 [arXiv:1501.07600 [hep-th]].

[88] G. Chen and Y. J. Du, "Amplitude Relations in Non-linear Sigma Model," JHEP **1401**, 061 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2014)061 [arXiv:1311.1133 [hep-th]].

[89] Y. J. Du and C. H. Fu, "Explicit BCJ numerators of nonlinear simga model," JHEP **1609**, 174 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)174 [arXiv:1606.05846 [hep-th]].

[90] C. Cheung and C. H. Shen, "Symmetry and Action for Flavor-Kinematics Duality," arXiv:1612.00868 [hep-th].

[91] S. Weinberg, "The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations,"

[92] C. G. Callan, Jr., "Broken scale invariance in scalar field theory," Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1541 (1970).

doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.2.1541

[93] R. H. Boels and W. Wormsbecher, "Spontaneously broken conformal invariance in observables," arXiv:1507.08162 [hep-th].

[94] S. Coleman, "Aspects of symmetry", Cambridge University Press (1988)

[95] M. Campiglia, "Null to time-like infinity Green's functions for asymptotic symmetries in Minkowski spacetime," JHEP **1511**, 160 (2015)

[96] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, "Role of Surface Integrals in the Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity," Annals Phys. **88**, 286 (1974)